

University Journal of Surgery and Surgical Specialities

ISSN 2455-2860

2020, Vol. 6(3)

GIANT CELL TUMOR OF DISTAL RADIUS- EXCISION AND RECONSTRUCTION WITH NONVASCULARISED PROXIMAL FIBULAR AUTOGRAFT A CASE SERIES VINOTH KUMAR N

Department of Orthopaedic Surgery, MADRAS MEDICAL COLLEGE AND GOVERNMENT GENERAL HOSPITAL

Abstract : Giant cell tumor is a benign aggressive bone tumor of obscure origin presenting in between second and fourth decade of life, accounts for 4-5percent of primary bone tumors and about 20 percent of benign bone tumors. Worldwide significantly higher incidence rates are observed in Asia, where it constitutes about 20percent of all primary bone tumors in China. It is slightly more common in females, has predilection for the epiphyseal metaphyseal region of long bones. After distal femur and proximal tibia, distal radius is the most common site of occurrence for GCT. This site has further distinction of having more aggressive behaviour of GCT with higher chances of recurrences and malignant transformation. Treatment options for GCT at this site include intraleisonal curettage with bone grafting or cementing, sandwich technique, adjuvant treatment with Bisphosphonate Irrigation therapy, en bloc excision and reconstruction with non vascular or vascularised fibular autograft, osteoarticular allograft, ulnar translocation, endo prosthesis, custom mega prosthesis. Although amputation would seem likely to be curative, it is seldom warranted in a tumour that rarely metastasizes.4 patients with mean age of 21 years, with either Campanacci grade I, II or III histopathologically proven giant cell tumors of distal end radius were treated with wide excision with 2-3cm margin clearance and transverse osteotomy of proximal radius and reconstruction with ipsilateral nonvascularised proximal fibular autograft. Host graft junction was stabilised with Asian DCP in all cases. Wrist ligament reconstruction and fixation of the head of the fibula with carpal bones and distal ulna using K-wires and primary cancellous iliac crest grafting at graft host junction was done in all cases. The follow-up ranged from 14 to 20months. At last follow-up, the average combined range of motion was 100.5 degrees with range varying from 60 to 125degrees. The average union time was 7.5months (range 4 to 12months). There was no case of graft fracture, metastasis, death or significant donor site morbidity. Conclusion, Enbloc resection of giant cell tumor of the distal end radius is widely accepted method. Reconstruction with nonvascularised fibular graft, internal fixation with Asian DCP

An Initiative of The Tamil Nadu Dr. M.G.R. Medical University University Journal of Surgery and Surgical Specialities

with primary corticocancellous bone grafting with transfixation of fibular head minimises the problem and gives satisfactory functional outcome.

Keyword :giant cell tumor, Osteoclastoma, non vascular proximal fibular graft, giant cell tumor distal radius, giant cell tumor excision reconstruction, campanacci grading INTRODUCTION

Giant cell tumor is a benign aggressive bone tumor of obscure origin presenting in second and fourth decade of life, accounts for 4-5% of primary bone tumors and about 20% of benign bone tumors. In worldwide significantly higher incidence rates are observed in Asia, where it constitutes about 20% of all primary bone tumors in China21.It is slightly more common in females, has a predilection for the epiphyseal/metaphyseal region of long bones. After distal femur and proximal tibia, distal radius is the most common site of occurrence for GCT1. This site has a further distinction of having more aggressive behaviour of GCT with higher chances of recurrences and malignant transformation. Treatment options for GCT at this site include intraleisonal curettage1 with bone grafting or cementing, sandwich technique23 and adjuvant treatment with Bisphosphonate Irrigation therapy22, en bloc excision and reconstruction with non vascular24 or vascularised fibular autograft, osteoarticular allograft, ulnar translocation, or endo prosthesis, custom mega prosthesis. Although amputation would seem likely to be curative, it is seldom warranted in a tumour that rarely metastasizes. The recurrence rate for primary treatment of GCT is relatively higher for curettage or extended curettage as compared to en bloc excision, making later a more suitable and reliable option in cases showing aggressive lesions which so often is the case in distal radius2. Although providing the best chance of cure from GCT, en bloc excision of distal radius presents reconstructive problems. Reconstruction of wrist after en bloc excision of distal radius is a challenging task. Most patients are young active adults demanding cosmetically acceptable and functionally adequate wrist. We have used ipsilateral non vascularised fibular autograft for reconstructing distal radius .The problems with non vascularized fibular autograft are slow incorporation of the graft, osteoporosis, nonunion and progressive absorption over time.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

Four cases of giant cell tumor of the distal radius were followed up for a mean of 12 months (range 8 to 24 months). There were 3 female and 1 male patients. Their ages ranged from 15 to 26 years (average, 21 years). The average follow-up was 14 months (range, 8 to 20 months). All patients underwent staging studies that included plain radiography, computed tomography (CT), and chest CT. 3 patients underwent primary resection and reconstruction with fibular autograft. 1 patient had recurrent GCT who initially underwent curettage and bone grafting. Campanacci's staging system for giant cell tumour of the bone7, It is radiological grading system was used. Grade I tumour had a well-marginated border of a thin rim of mature bone and the cortex was intact or slightly thinned but not deformed. Grade II tumour had relatively well defined margins but no radio-opaque rim. Grade III tumours had fuzzy borders. According to this system, 3 tumors were classified as Stage II and 1 tumour as Stage III. Core needle biopsy was performed and surgery was delayed until histo pathologic evaluation had been completed. One case (case 4) presented to us with local recurrence after curettage and application of bone graft. Core needle revealed recurrence. The tumor was approached through volar approach. Wide resection was done by transverse osteotomy of radius with a safety margin of 2 to 3 cm. The defect was bridged by ipsilateral non-vascularised proximal fibular autograft. Graft host junction was fixed by Asian DCP with cancellous bone graft from the iliac crest at the junction. Reconstruction of the inferior radio-ulnar and radio-carpal ligaments to the collateral ligament of fibular head by non-absorbable sutures passed through drill holes made in the graft. Distally fibular head fixed with K-wire to the carpal bones and the distal ulna. Postoperatively, an above elbow cast immobilisation was given for 8-12weeks. After that, a below elbow splint was applied until union. K-wires were removed at 8-12 weeks. The functional evaluation was performed using a modified system of the Musculoskeletal Tumour Society.8Radiological union of the graft was assessed according to Hsu et al9 with graft union defined as uninterrupted external bony borders between the graft and the recipient bone in addition to obscured or absent osteotomy lines. **RESULTS:**

At last follow-up, the average combined range of motion was 100° (supination, pronation, dorsiflexion, palmar flexion, ulnar deviation and radial deviation) with range varying from 60° to 125°. Using the modified system of the Musculoskeletal Tumour Society,8 the mean functional score was 93.2 (ranged from 83 to 96). The average union time was 8 months (range 6 to 12 months). There were no non-union, graft resorption, localised recurrence, graft fracture, metastasis, death or significant donor site morbidity.

Table 1 MASTE	R CHART

Case	Age	Sex	Grade	Follow Up (months)	Graft union (months)	Function al score (%)	ROM (degree)	Complicati on	Secondar y Procedur e
1	24	м	m	22	8	83	90	none	none
2	19	F	u	10	6	93	110	none	none
3	26	F	u	9	4	96	110	none	none
4	15	F		8	12	89	90	none	none

CASE 1:

24/male, c/o pain & swelling for 3 months duration, X-ray osteo lytic lesion with cortical breach, Biopsy-confirmed as GCT Wide resection and fibular autograft, fixed with 3.5 DCP ,At 2 year follow up patient had functional range of movement can do daily activities.

PRE OP



PRONATION CASE 2 :

19/female, Pain and swelling for past 9 months, Biopsy-GCT, Wide resection and fibular autograft, fixed with 3.5 DCP





SUPINATION









An Initiative of The Tamil Nadu Dr. M.G.R. Medical University University Journal of Surgery and Surgical Specialities

CASE 3:

26/f, C/o pain & swelling of rt distal forearm for 6 months, H/O native treatment present, Biopsy – GCT right distal radius, P/D – Tumour resection , fibular autograft and fixed with ADCP



CASE 4:

18/ female, c/o pain and swelling for past 7 months, biopsy –GCT, 1st –curettage with bone graft and bone substitute, 2nd –recurrence with in 5 months, repeat biopsy came as grade III campanacci GCT, Proceeded with resection and reconstruction



An Initiative of The Tamil Nadu Dr. M.G.R. Medical University University Journal of Surgery and Surgical Specialities



DISCUSSION

Giant cell tumor is an aggressive neoplasm with a high rate of recurrence.10 The problem of selecting exact treatment is complicated by the failure of its histopathologic appearance to indicate its biologic behaviour.10 Despite many controversies, it is generally agreed that for a giant cell tumor of the distal end radius, the extent of the surgical procedure and subsequent functional deficit must be weighed against the chance of recurrenceand its consequences.11 There are several reports that giant cell tumors in the distal end of the radius are more aggressive and metastasise more often to the pulmonary system. Thorough extended curettage through a sufficiently large cortical window followed by good filling up of a cavity with cancellous bone grafts or bone cement seems to be justified only in histologically typical tumors that are well contained within an intact cortex. For histologically aggressive tumors, the only reliable technique appears to be enbloc resection with conservation of hand. The main causes for poor clincal results of curettage and bone grafting in extensive lesions were tumour recurrence and joint surface collapse. Thus the functional outcomes were worse than those of patients initially treated with wide resection and reconstructions12. Recently tumor excision and subchondral collapse can be prevented by doing sandwich technique, in which after extended curettage of leison, cavity filled with cancellous bone graft and methyl methacrylate cement in a manner of layer by layer so that it will give secondary strength to prevent surface collapse. Enbloc resection and reconstruction is strongly recommended, especially in high grade neoplasms and those which have recurred, have pathological fracture, have enlarged rapidly or are frankly malignant transformation.2 Reconstruction is mandatory after adequate resection of the tumour to preserve the function and alignment. Many techniques have been described for reconstruction and include iliac crest graft, centralisation of ulna, distal radial allograft, vascularised or non-vascularised fibular graft and prosthesis.6, 13-17,endo prosthesis, osteoarticular allograft where bone bank facility available, custom mega prosthesis. Reconstruction with cortico cancellous iliac graft or centralisation of ulna sacrifices the wrist and forearm motion. Nearly half of these grafts suffer stress fracture and subsequent subluxation of joint. 5 Although the use of radial allograft has shown encouraging results, there are many associated problems. Selection of suitable donors, the method of obtaining and preserving the graft, and the technique of allograft reconstruction deserve particular attention. The surgeon must consider the risks of infection, or graft rejection, delayed wound healing and functions of the wrist joint.17 Vascularised fibular auto graft is technically more demanding with the use of microsurgical techniques. All complications of vascularised free bone graft are possible. Skin closure of forearm also poses problems.15The potentially increased operative time, effort, expense and associated complications must be shown to decrease the morbidity and late fracture

problems, before they can be considered superior to other.13 Non-vascular fibular autograft was first used in the year 1945 for congenital absence of radius.18 Later, fibular transplants were used by various authors for tumors of the distal end radius.6,14 This reconstruction technique has yielded good functional results for giant cell tumour of the distal end of the radius in various series, although large series with longer follow-ups are few.6,13 This procedure also has problems such as delayed union, nonunion, stress fractures, bone resorption, deformities, ulnar impingement, carpal degenerative changes and donor site morbidity.6 In a review of a large series of patients treated with a similar technique of reconstruction with osteoarticular allograft of the distal radius, allograft was revised or amputation was performed in 33% of the cases.19 Murray and Schlafly et all reported in their study that some patients in whom arthroplasty of the distal radius had been fashioned with vascularised fibular graft required arthrodesis due to persistent pain in all movements of wrist joint .20In this case series, we treated 4 patients with giant cell tumour of the distal radius by wide resection and non-vascularised fibular graft. Graft union occurred in a time comparable with the published series.5,6,13 This reconstruction technique has yielded good functional results (Table 1). Our combined range of motion was an average of 100°. This has been shown in literature to vary from 40° to 77° and 70° to 185°, respectively. 6, 21 Non-vascularised proximal fibular graft is reasonably congruous with distal radius. Its incorporation as an autograft is more rapid and predictable. Moreover, it is easily accessible without significant donor site morbidity. Literature reveals donor site morbidity in the form of objective motor weakness, subjective discomfort in the ankle and other sites in the leg, and sensory abnormalities in the lower limb (or limbs) from which the graft had been obtained, common peroneal nerve injury slightly prevalent in vasularised autograft25. The wrist functions are clinically acceptable. Using this technique of reconstruction after wide resection of the giant cell tumour of the distal end of the radius is a reasonable method for managing such a problem with good functional results.

CONCLUSION

En bloc resection of giant cell tumors of the lower end of radius is a widely accepted method. Reconstruction with non-vascularized bular graft, internal xation with Asian DCP with trans xation of the bular head and wrist ligament reconstruction minimizes the problem and gives satisfactory functional results. Non-vascularised proximal bular graft is reasonably congruous with distal radius. Its incorporation as an autograft is more rapid and predictable. Moreover, it is easily accessible without signicant donor site morbidity. The wrist functions are clinically acceptable. Using this technique of reconstruction after wide resection of the giant cell tumor of the distal end of the radius is a reasonable method for managing such a problem with good functional results.

REFERENCES

1. Szendroi M. Giant cell tumor of bone. J Bone Joint Surg Br 2004;86:5-12.

2. Smith RJ, Mankin HJ. Allograft replacement of distal radius for giant celltumor. J Hand Surg Am 1977;2:299-309.

3. O'Donnell RJ, Springfield DS, Motwani HK, Ready JE, Gebhardt MC, Mankin HJ. Recurrence of giant cell tumors of the long bones after curettage and packing with cement. J Bone Joint Surg Am 1994;76:1827-33.

4. Rock MG. Curettage of giant cell tumour of bone. Factors influencing local recurrences and metastasis. ChirOrganiMov 1990;75:204-5.

5. Lackman RD, McDonald DJ, Beckenbaugh RD, Sim FH. Fibular reconstruction for giant cell tumour of the radius. ClinOrthop 1987;218:232- 8.

6. Dhammi IK, Jain AK, Maheshwari AV, Singh MP. Giant cell tumors of lower end of the radius: problems and solutions. Indian J Orthop 2005;39:201-5.

7. Campanacci M. Giant cell tumor and chondrosarcoma: grading, treatment and results. Cancer Res 1976;54:257-61.

An Initiative of The Tamil Nadu Dr. M.G.R. Medical University University Journal of Surgery and Surgical Specialities 8. Enneking WF, Dunham W, Gebhardt MC, Malawar M, Pritchard DJ. A system for the functional evaluation of reconstructive procedures aftersurgical treatment of tumors of the musculoskeletal system. ClinOrthop 1993;241-6.

9. Hsu RW, Wood MB, Sim FH, Chao EY. Free vascularised fi bulargrafting for reconstruction after tumour resection. J Bone Joint Surg Br 1997;79:36-42.

10. Smith RJ, Mankin HJ. Allograft replacement of distal radius for giant celltumor. J Hand Surg Am 1977; 2:299-308.

11. Lackman RD, McDonald DJ, Beckenbaugh RD, Sim FH. Fibular reconstruction for Giant cell tumour of the radius. ClinOrthop 1987;218:232-8.

12. Su YP, Chen WM, Chen TH. Giant cell tumors of bone: an analysis of 87 cases.IntOrthop 2004;28:239-43.

13. Murray JA, Schlafl y B. Giant cell tumors in the distal end of the radius. Treatment by resection and fi bularautograftinterpositionalarthroplas ties. J Bone Joint Surg Am 1986;68:687-94.

14. Parrish FF. Treatment of bone tumors by total excision and replace ment with massive autologous and homologous grafts. J Bone Joint Surg Am 1966;48:968-90. 15. Pho RWH. Malignant giant cell tumor or the distal end or the radius treated by a free vascularized fi bular transplant. J Bone Joint Surg Am 1981;63:877-84.

16. Unni KK. General aspects and data on 11087 cases. In: Dahlins' Bone Tumors. 5th ed. Philadelphia: Lippincott-Raven Publishers, 1996:263-87.

17. Mund DF, Yao L, Fu YS, Eckardt JJ. Case report 826: physiological resorption of allograft simulating recurrent giant cell tumor. Skeletal Radiol 1994;23:139-41.

18. Starr DE. Congenital absence of radius; method of surgical correction. J Bone Joint Surg 1945;27:572.

19. Kocher MS, Gebhardt MC, Mankin HG. Reconstruction of the distal aspect of the raduis with the use of osteoarticular allograft after excision of skeletal tumour. J Bone Joint Surg 1998;407:419.

20. Murray JA, Schlafl y B. Giant cell tumors in the distal end of the radius.Treatment by resection and fi bular autograftinterpositionalarthroplasties.J Bone Joint Surg Am 1986;687:94.

21. Thomas, D. M., & Skubitz, T. (2009). Giant-cell tumour of bone. Current Opinion in Oncology , 21, 338-344.

22. Southern Medical University, Guangzhou, Guangdong 510515, PR China. Electronic address: Medical Hypotheses (Impact Factor: 1.15). 08/2013;DOI: 10.1016/j.mehy.2013.08.002Source: PubMed

23. Indian J Orthop. 2007 Apr-Jun; 41(2): 151–153.doi: 1 0 . 4 1 0 3 / 0 0 1 9 - 5 4 1 3 . 3 2 0 4 8 . P M C I D : PMC2989140,Multicentric giant cell tumor around the knee Anil Salgia, SK Biswas, Rahul Agrawal, and Vishavdeep Goyal

24. Giant Cell Tumour of the Distal Radius: Wide Resection and Reconstruction by Non-vascularised Proximal Fibular Autograft Ayman Abdelaziz Bassiony,1*MD*

25. J Bone Joint Surg Am. 1996 Feb;78(2):204-11 . Donor-site morbidity with use of vascularized autogenous fibular grafts. Vail TP1, Urbaniak JR1Duke University Medical Center, Durham, North Carolina 27710, USA.